



ACNP Study Group Guidelines

DEADLINE for ACNP member chairs to create submission and add presenters: May 19, 2026*

DEADLINE for individual participants to complete disclosures: June 2, 2026*

DEADLINE for chairs to review, finalize and submit session: June 4, 2026*

**All deadlines occur at 5:00 PM Central*

For panel, mini panel, and study group submissions, each participant is required to submit their individual presentation information and a financial disclosure. Do not wait until the deadline to start the submission.

Step 1) The Chair needs to initiate the proposal and invite the rest of the presenters **by May 19th** to ensure the presenters have time to complete their portion of the proposal. ***Once the Chair creates the submission and adds the other participants to the proposal in the system, they have met the requirements for the May 19th deadline.***

Step 2) From there, each individual presenter has **until June 2nd** to login and submit their part of the proposal. ***Everyone participating in the submission must submit before the Chair can officially submit the proposal for review.***

Step 3) The Chair then has until the **FINAL deadline of June 4th** to review all aspects of the proposal, make any edits needed, and **then officially submit the proposal for review.**

All study group participants are expected to attend and participate in-person. The January 2027 Annual Meeting will not be livestreamed, but will be recorded for access post-meeting.

Reminder: Study Groups are 2 hours in length.

- ❖ Study groups are issue-oriented **2-hour sessions** with a **maximum of 8 roles**, including chair, co-chair, and moderator. Examples are timely and focused topics that can be used to resolve a controversy or debate in the field or may be related to ethics or policy matters. Study groups should focus on discussion of a clearly articulated set of questions or problems in the field that will engage the audience in discussion over differing perspectives.
- ❖ Study groups are informal with **more audience participation than panels**. Individual participants do not have a set time to speak, but rather talk informally among themselves with the audience. To foster this atmosphere, it is strongly recommended that each participant use no more than 3 slides, or no slides at all, and should NOT be a substitute for a scientific talk. Chairs are encouraged to include a broad range of participants that can address questions from multiple viewpoints.
- ❖ Study groups **must be chaired** by an ACNP member and should include different categories of membership (Associate Member, Member, Fellow, Emeritus).

- ❖ The Program Committee encourages Study Group proposals to include a broad slate of participants (e.g., geographical location, career level, and background). A justification is required for proposals in which broad participation is not included.
- ❖ An individual **cannot** be listed as a presenter or participant on more than **1 submitted proposal** (mini panel, panel, or study group) per year and cannot be listed for more than **2 total roles** (chair, co-chair or presenter/participant). This maximum **does** include participation in study groups. It is incumbent on the individual to know their potential roles on submitted proposals. **If an individual is listed on more than 2 total roles at the time of the submission deadline, the proposals will not be reviewed.** ACNP staff will not be responsible for contacting individuals to clarify which panel they elect to participate on.
- ❖ There is a limit of 3200 characters for the text of the abstract submission. This includes title (250 characters) and proposal body (2950 characters), not including spaces.
- ❖ The College requires all clinical trials to be registered in a public registry prior to submission. For more information, please reference the [official statement](#).
- ❖ All materials submitted for presentation at the January 2027 ACNP Annual Meeting will be published in *NPP* and should be original and not previously published. Following publication authors may reuse their work with the sole requirements of full citation and link to the original publication online.
- ❖ Study Groups will be scheduled on the assumption that **all participants agree to be available on all days** of the Annual Meeting. All participants will attest a statement to this effect at the time of submission on the submission site. *Special requests to present on a particular day of the week will not be permitted.* Study Groups will be scheduled by the Program Committee to provide balance on themes throughout the meeting. Chairs of proposed sessions should verify that their participants will be available at all times throughout the meeting. The Executive Office will make every effort to not schedule any presenters on the last day if they presented on the last day the previous year.
- ❖ A maximum of \$4,000 will be available to support travel for non-member participant and associate member expenses. No honoraria can be paid from these funds.
- ❖ **Non-member participants who received financial support for participation for the 2026 Annual Meeting are not eligible to receive financial support in 2027. Chairs must inform non-members of this policy.**
- ❖ Registration fees are waived for non-member participants and associate members, but they must still register for the meeting.
- ❖ Audiovisual equipment available for every study group: laptop, LCD projector, laser pointer, podium, and microphone.
- ❖ [Click here](#) for a sample abstract.

Evaluation Process

Each proposal is assigned to three members of the Program Committee for review who assign a numerical value of 1-9 (similar to the NIH review system) based on the below criteria:

- a. Well-articulated, overall theme with justified components
- b. Scientific merit
- c. Scientific innovation
- d. Scientific rigor

- e. Topic recently represented at the ACNP meeting
- f. Inclusion of broad participants (e.g., geographical location, career level, and background)
- g. Likely to be well attended
- h. Member/non-member speaker balance
- i. Institutional/geographic diversity

Selection Process:

Based on the reviewer scores, proposals are grouped into an “accept” category (around the top third of submitted proposals, typically ranked consistently in the 1-2 range across the three reviewers), a “discuss” category”, and a “reject” category (around the bottom third of proposals receiving consistently less strong scores). Each of the proposals in the “discuss” category, typically comprising ~40% of all submitted proposals, is discussed during a day-long Program Committee meeting held in the summer; structured discussions led by the three original reviewers follow a system modeled after NIH study sections that allows the entire committee to contribute and vote on each of the proposals in this category. Committee members are also given the opportunity to pull out proposals from the “reject” category for discussion and vote by the whole committee.